

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

EAST NEWTON WATER TRANSMISSION IMPROVEMENTS



ADDENDUM NO. 1

July 9, 2021

Newton County Water & Sewerage Authority

www.ncwsa.us

ADDENDUM NO. 1

SUMMARY

Addendum No. 1 answers questions from the mandatory pre-submittal meeting held at the Newton County Water and Sewerage Authority's main office on July 7, 2021. This addendum will include the sign-in sheets, bridging documents and other information.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Concerning price, how will the 30% component of the selection process be weighed?
 - a. A scale will be used for scoring the price component.
2. Of the bridging documents listed, all documents were not available. Will those be made available?
 - a. Yes, additional bridging documents will be forthcoming.
3. On the cost proposal general conditions matrix, will it include general conditions for Phase II services to be including in the cost?
 - a. Yes.
4. Would this also include the cost for the engineer's as-built drawings?
 - a. Cost of Work items should not be included in the price of General Conditions.
5. No Geotech for the tank site?
 - a. Correct, we have not performed subsurface investigation for the potential tank site.
6. Can you confirm the amount of the bid bond is for phase I only?
 - a. The bid bond should be made for the Total for Lines A, B and C, (which is Line D) shown on the Cost Proposal.
7. At what point is the decision made to construct the tank for 1 million gallons or 2 million gallons?
 - a. Based on the design services (hydraulic modeling) that the selected Design-Builder will perform.
8. For contract time on Phase II services, is that to be negotiated?
 - a. Yes.
9. Is there a schedule for when the remainder of the easements will be obtained, as far as for a right of entry?

- a. We do not have a schedule other than easements are being obtained ASAP. We do have right of entry for the potential tank site. The largest easement for the linear project has been obtained. Remaining easements are not as significant to the project currently. We estimate approximately 60 days to obtain remaining easements.
10. Based on the registration requirements, are there any tank contractors present at this meeting?
- a. There did not appear to be tank constructors present. It is our belief that the tank constructors will serve as a primary subcontractor to the D-B team.
11. Will the Authority entertain other options for the tank design?
- a. We are willing to negotiate, but we have to meet the requirements in the service agreement whereby some assurances have been made.
12. The insurance limits seem very high for this project.
- a. We ask that the Offeror mark up the proposed design-build agreement if changes are requested.
13. When will pipeline drawings be available?
- a. Following today's pre-submittal meeting included in Addendum No. 1.
14. RFP Page 4, Format: RFP indicates the 20 pages of less should include letter of interest, qualifications, experience, and project approach. The next paragraph directs us to "locate all other items in the appendices." As such, please confirm the section entitled "Safety" should be included in the Appendices.
- a. Yes, the "Safety" section should be located in the Appendix.
15. Please confirm the DBE documentation included in Appendix C is not required to be submitted as part of this proposal.
- a. DBE documentation is not required to be submitted as part of the response.
16. The RFP states the "Paine Crossing Transmission Main (Construction Drawings), Cater & Sloope, Inc.; October 2019" are included as part of the package. I do not see them in the folder. Can you please provide?
- a. Yes, they will be distributed to registered Offerors in Addendum No. 1
17. Under Qualification on page 4 of the RFP, it states that experience may be from other firms. Is this referencing any subconsultant work or stating we can include work completed with a previous firm?
- a. Management team experience completed with a previous firm is acceptable.
18. Figure 1 as referenced on Page 1 of Section 1 that describes the conceptual project components. The termination point of the pipeline on State Route 11 from Cornish Creek is not shown in any of the figures.

- a. The Paine Crossing drawings describe a termination of the pipeline at S.R. 11 near Social Circle, GA.
19. The Newton County Strategic Water Plan - Water Distribution System Evaluation (Report); Carter & Sloope, Inc., January 2021 is not included in the provided bridging documents although referenced in the RFP on Page 10 of Appendix A.
- a. Registered Offerors may download reports with other project information as part of Addendum No. 1.
20. Is the file titled 'Figure 3 – Potential Main & Tank Sites' supposed to be Figure 2a referenced as a bridging document on Page 10 of Appendix A?
- a. Yes, similar to Item 19.